1 2 3 4 5 6	Anthony L. Marcus, CA Bar No. 297368 anthony@anthonymarcuslawfirm.com Anthony Marcus Law Firm 17272 Newhope Street Fountain Valley, CA 92708 Tel: (949) 463-2727 Counsel for Defendant		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA		
9			
10	ADRIANNE GRZYBOWSKI,	Case No. 3:2022-cv-01611-MCC	
11	Plaintiff,	Hon. Martin C. Carlson	
12	,		
13	V.	DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT	
14	TAX RISE, INC.		
15	Defendant.	Complaint filed: October 13, 2022	
16		Trial Date: None Set	
17			
18	Defendant TAX RISE, INC. ("Defendant") now answers Plaintiff's		
19	Complaint (the "Complaint") against it, as follows:		
20	Except as expressly admitted or qualified hereafter, Defendant denies each and		
21	every allegation of the Complaint.		
22	<u>JURISDICTION</u>		
23	1. This action arises of Defendant's repeated violations of the Telephone		
2425	Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, <i>et. Seq.</i> (the "TCPA") and 47 C.F.R. §64.1200(c)(2).		
26	30200(0)(2).		
27	Answer: Defendant admits to the jurisdiction of the Court based on the		
28	TCPA regulations. Defendant denies th	e remaining allegations in Paragraph 1.	

2. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 139(b), in that the Defendant transacts business in this district and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

Answer: Defendant admits to the allegations contain in paragraph 2.

PARTIES

3. The Plaintiff, Adrianne Grzybowski ("Plaintiff"), is an individual residing in Wilkes Barre, Pennsylvania, and is a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).

Answer: Defendant admits on information and belief that Plaintiff is an "individual." With respect to the remaining allegations in paragraph 3, Defendant lacks information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remainder allegations and therefore denies the remainder of the allegations.

4. Defendant Tax Rise, Inc. ("Defendant"), is a California business entity with an address of 19900 MacArthur Boulevard, Suite 400, Irvine, California 92612, and is a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).

Answer: Defendant admits to the allegations contain in paragraph 4.

THE NATIONAL DO-NOT-CALL REGISTRY

5. The National Do-Not-Call Registry allows consumers to register their telephone number and therby indicate their desire not to receive telephone solicitations at those numbers. *See* 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(c)

Answer: Defendant admits the allegations contain in paragraph 5.

6. A listing on the Do-Not-Call Registry "must be honored indefinitely, or until the registration is cancelled by the consumer or the telephone number is removed

by the database administrator." Id. 1 2 **Answer:** Defendant admits to the allegations contained in paragraph 6. 3 4 7. The TCPA and implementing regulations prohibit the initiation of the telephone solicitations to residential telephone subscribers to the Do-Not-Call 5 Registry and provides a private right of action against any entity that initiated more 6 than one such telephone solicitation within any 12-month period. 47 U.S.C. § 227(c)(2); 47 C.F.R. §64.1200(c)(2). 7 8 **Answer:** Defendant admits to the allegations contained in paragraph 7. 9 10 Telephone solicitations under 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(c)(2) include text 8. 11 messages. See Gulden v. Liberty Home Guard LLC. 2021 WL 689912, at *4-5 (D. Ariz. Feb. 23, 2021). 12 13 **Answer:** Defendant admits to the allegations contained in paragraph 8. 14 15 FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS 16 17 Plaintiff's cellular number, 570-XXX-6427, has been registered with the 9. national Do-Not-Call Registry since February 2, 2007. 18 19 **Answer:** Defendant denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a 20 belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 9 and therefore 21 currently denies them. 22 23 10. Plaintiff does not have a separate residential telephone number and uses the cellular telephone as a residential telephone number. 24 25 Answer: Defendant denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a 26 belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 10 and therefore 27 currently denies them. 28

- 3 -

11. Within the last year, Defendant initiated telephone solicitations to Plaintiff's cellular telephone by sending repeated text messages to Plaintiff's cellular telephone regarding assistance with tax debt.

Answer: Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 11. Defendant secures its costumers' consent prior to initiate any type of communication with them.

12. In January 2021 and multiple times, plaintiff replied to Defendant's texts with "STOP."

Answer: Defendant denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 12 and therefore currently denies them. Defendant secures its costumers' consent prior to initiate any type of communication with them.

13. Despite Plaintiff's unequivocal instructions that Defendant stop all text messages, Defendant did not cease its telemarketing text messages to Plaintiff's cellular telephone within a reasonable amount of time.

Answer: Defendant denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 13 and therefore currently denies them. Defendant secures its costumers' consent prior to initiate any type of communication with them.

14. Plaintiff continued to receive the unwanted text message solicitations from Defendant through mid-March 2021.

<u>Answer:</u> Defendant denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 14 and therefore

1	currently denies them. Defendant secures its costumers' consent prior to initiate any				
2	type of communication with them.				
3					
4	COUNT 1				
5	VIOLATIONS OF THE TCPA				
6	(47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq. and 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(c)(2))				
7					
8	15. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all the above paragraphs of this Compliant as though fully stated herein				
10	Answer: Defendant incorporates its responses in all the above paragraphs of				
11 12	this answer as though fully stated herein.				
13	16. 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(c)(2) provides that "No person or entity shall initiate				
14	any telephone solicitation to (2) A residential telephone subscriber who has registered his or her telephone number on the national do-not-call registry of				
15	persons who do not wish to receive telephone solicitations that is maintained by the				
16 17	Federal government. Such do-not-call registrations must be honored indefinitely, of until the registration is cancelled by the consumer or the telephone number is removed by the database administrator."				
18	Temoved by the dumouse duministrator.				
19	Answer: Defendant admits to the allegations contained in paragraph 16.				
20					
21	17. The TCPA provides a private right of action to "A person who has received more than one telephone call within any 12-month period by or on behalf of the				
22	same entity in violation of the regulations" 47 U.S.C. § 227(c)(2).				
23	Answer: Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 17.				
24	Answer. Desendant admits the anegations contained in paragraph 17.				
25	18. Defendant knowingly and willfully violated 47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq. and 47				
26	C.F.R. § 63.1200(c)(2) by initiating more than one telephone solicitation text				
27	messages to Plaintiff's cellular telephone within a 12-month period despite the fact that Plaintiff's cellular telephone was registered on the National Do-Not-Call Registry at all relevant times.				
28	- 5 -				

1	Answer: Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 18 in its		
2	entirety. Defendant secures its costumers' consent prior to initiate any type of		
3	communication with them.		
4			
5	19. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages for each text		
6	message sent by the Defendant in violation of the TCPA pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §		
7	227(b)(3)(B).		
8			
9	Answer: Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 19 in its		
10	entirety. Defendant secures its costumers' consent prior to initiate any type of		
11	communication with them.		
12	20. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of treble damages in an amount up to		
13	\$1,500.00 for each text message Defendant sent to Plaintiff that constitutes a knowing and/or willful violation of the TCPA pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).		
14			
15	227(0)(3)(C).		
16	Answer: Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 20.		
17	Defendant secures its costumers' consent prior to initiate any type of		
18	communication with them.		
19	DDAVED EOD DELIEE		
20	PRAYER FOR RELIEF WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against the		
21	Defendant as follows:		
22			
23	A. Statutory damages of \$500.00 for each violation determined to be negligent pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §		
24	227(b)(3)(B);		
25	Answer: Defendant denies the allegations contained in number A.		
26	B. Treble Damages for each violation determined to be		
27	willful and/or knowing pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §		
28	227(b)(3)(c); and - 6 -		

Such other and further relief as may be just and proper. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(c), and without assuming any burdens of proof or persuasion that would otherwise rest on Plaintiff, Defendant asserts the following affirmative defenses. Defendant reserves all rights to assert The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, for lack of any actual injury Plaintiff's damages, if any, were caused, in whole or in part, by the actions and/or inactions of third parties and/or intervening causes over which Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by her prior express Plaintiff's claims for damages constitute an excessive fine and violates

1		Amendments to the United States Constitution.			
2	7.	Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of unclean			
3 4		hands.			
5	8.	Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because any award would			
6		constitute unjust enrichment.			
7	9.	Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because Defendant did not willfully or knowingly violate the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991 or any of its regulations.			
8					
9	10.	Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because Defendant			
10 11		substantially complied with the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991			
12		and its regulations.			
13	11.	Defendant reserves the right to assert additional affirmative defenses as they			
14		are discovered through the course of discovery.			
15		WHEREFORE, Defendant respectfully requests that the Court:			
16 17		a. Dismiss all of Plaintiff's claims against Defendant with prejudice			
18		and on the merits;			
19		b. That Plaintiff take nothing by the Complaint;			
20		b. That I faintiff take nothing by the Complaint,			
21		c. Award Defendant all costs, disbursements, and reasonable attorney			
22		fees allowed by law; and			
23		d. Grant Defendant any such further relief to which it may be entitled.			
2425		a. Crane Berendane any baon rarmer remer to winter to many or entitied.			
26					
27					
28					
		- 8 -			

1	Dated: December 2, 2022	ANTHONY MARCUS LAW FIRM
2		
3		By: /s/Anthony Marcus Anthony L. Marcus
4		Counsel for Defendant
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17 18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		- 9 -

PROOF OF SERVICE 1 2 I, Anthony L Marcus, certify and declare that I am an active member 3 of the State Bar of California and am not a party to this action. My business address 4 is: Anthony Marcus Law Firm, 17272 Newhope Street Fountain Valley, CA 90708. 5 On December 05, 2022, I served a true and correct copy of: 6 **DEFENDANT'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT** on the Party(ies) in this action as follows: 7 8 Jody B Burton Esa Attorneys for Plaintiff, 9 Adrianne Grzybowski Lemberg Law. L.L.C. 43 Danbury Road 3rd Floor Wilton CT 06897 10 jburton@lemberglaw.com 11 \mathbb{N} (VIA U.S. MAIL) In accordance with the regular mailing collection and 12 processing practices of this office, with which I am readily familiar, by 13 means of which mail is deposited with the United States Postal Service at Fountain Valley California that same day in the ordinary course of business, 14 I deposited such sealed envelope, with postage thereon fully prepaid, for 15 collection and mailing on this same date following ordinary business practices, addressed as set forth above. 16 17 \boxtimes (BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE) I caused service of the foregoing 18 document(s) to be sent on the above-mentioned date. I monitored the transmission of the electronic service thereafter. I found no indication of a 19 return or failure of said transmission to the e-mail address(es) listed below, as follows: 20 21 I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of 22 California, that the above is true and correct. 23 DATE: December 05, 2022 24 /s/ Anthony Marcus 25 Anthony Marcus 26 27 28 - 10 -